

WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT EVALUATION CRITERIA – RATING FORM (Rev. 01-06-2020)

Applicant	Rank	Weight	Score
Problem/Atypical Areas			
Note difficult wetland situations on data forms and in reports		0.5	0
Factor in difficult wetland situations for wetland determination		0.5	0
Were Chapter 5 procedures properly applied?		1	0
<i>Subtotal</i>			0
Vegetation			
Plants identified to species level and correct indicator status		0.5	0
Correct application of dominance measures/sequence (50-20 rule, PI, Morphological Adap.)		0.5	0
Correctly apply criteria in making hydrophytic vegetation determination		1	0
<i>Subtotal</i>			0
Hydrology			
Correctly recognize primary indicators		0.5	0
Correctly recognize secondary indicators (such as Fac-Neutral, geomorphic position, etc.)		0.5	0
Consider seasonal hydrology and antecedent hydrology analysis (antecedent analysis)		0.5	0
Correctly apply criteria in making hydrology determination		1	0
<i>Subtotal</i>			0
Soils			
Complete and accurate soil profile descriptions (deep enough, colored properly, textured, etc)		0.5	0
Consistent and accurate application of hydric soils indicators		0.5	0
Correctly apply criteria in making hydric soils determination		1	0
<i>Subtotal</i>			0
Wetland Determinations			
Based on the assured submittal, are the wetland boundaries supported by the data and the report information? (This is either a 0 or a 2)		1	0
<i>Subtotal</i>			0
Field Methods			
Representative data points and transects for the site (eg. paired data points, wetness signatures, etc.)		1	0
<i>Subtotal</i>			0
Reports			
All required checklist materials included - including properly labeled figures, proper narrative, data sheets, etc.		1	0
<i>Subtotal</i>			0
TOTAL SCORE			0%

Rank: 0 = does not meet criterion 1 = sometimes meets criterion 2 = consistently meets criterion

Total points = 23. A score of 70% (score/23 x 100) or greater is required to meet assurance criteria.

There is a potential for intermediate scores between rank numbers if the review panel determines that actual numbers do not accurately reflect the rating criterion. For instance, a score of 1.5 may be used when the individual usually meets the criterion.