

WASTE & MATERIALS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

=

TREATMENT & STORAGE FACILITY INSPECTION - SUBCH. AA & BB STANDARDS FOR CLOSED VENT SYSTEMS & CONTROL DEVICES (Process Vents & Equip. Leaks)

This Inspection Form, used in conjunction with the TREATMENT AND STORAGE FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT, is for the inspection of facilities that are operating a closed vent system and control device to control air emissions from equipment subject to ch. NR 664 subchs. AA and/or BB requirements.

Section 1: General Standards for All Closed-Vent Systems and Control Devices

ги И	
A. The closed-vent system and control device are operated whenever emissions are vented to	664.1033(13)
it.	
B. Testing is conducted to determine if the control device is operating with no detectable	664.1034(2)
emissions (<500 ppmv) according to ALL of the following: (NR 664.1034(2))	
1. The daily calibration procedures of the detection instrument are conducted according to	
Method 21 in appendix A of 40 CFR part 60.	
2. The monitoring and performance criteria are according to Method 21 in appendix A of 40	
CFR part 60.	
3. The background levels and potential leak interfaces are determined according to Method	
21.	
4. Calibration gases consist of zero air with <10 ppm hydrocarbons and a mixture of <10,000	
ppm methane or n-hexane in air.	
5. The arithmetic difference between the maximum instrument reading and background level	
is compared to 500 ppm to determine compliance.	
C. If performance tests were conducted on a vapor incinerator designed and operated to	664.1034(3)
achieve a total organic compound concentration of 20 ppmv, the total organic compound	
concentrations and mass flow rates entering and exiting the control device were determined	
according to ALL of the following:	
1. Velocity and volumetric flow rate were determined using Method 2 in appendix A of 40 CFR	
part 60.	
2. Organic content was determined using Method 18 in appendix A of 40 CFR part 60.	
3. Each performance test consists of 3 separate runs at least one hour each, under the	
highest load or capacity expected.	
4. Total organic mass flow rate and annual total organic emission rate are calculated correctly.	
5. The total organic emissions from all affected units are calculated by adding the hourly total	
organic mass emission rates and by adding the annual total organic mass emission rates.	
D. The facility maintains information indicating that the following performance testing facilities	664.1034(3)
were made available.	
1. Sampling ports adequate for the required test methods.	
2. A safe sampling platform.	
3. Safe access to the sampling platform.	
Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.	
E. All process information, including representative conditions used during the performance	664.1034(3)
test, are recorded.	
F. The time-weighted average of the results from 3 runs is used to determine compliance.	664.1034(3)
G. The control device is equipped with a flow indicator that is calibrated, maintained and	664.1033(6)(a)
operated so it provides a record of the vent stream flow from each affected unit to the control	0011000(0)(0)
device at least once every hour.	
H. Readings from each monitoring device are inspected at least once each operating day to	664.1033(6)(c)
check the operation of the control devices.	004.1033(0)(0)
I. If necessary, corrective measures are taken immediately to ensure the control device is	664.1033(6)(c)
adequately recovering organic vapors.	004.1033(0)(0)
aucquatery recovering organic vapors.	
L The algood year available appropriate according to FITUED of the following:	
J. The closed vent system is operated according to EITHER of the following:	664.1033(11)
1. With no detectable emissions as indicated by an instrument reading of < 500 ppmv above	
background and by visual inspection.	
2. At negative pressure with a pressure gauge or other pressure measuring device readily	
available to verify operation at a negative pressure.	
Key : C or EV: Evaluated - no noncompliance detected at the time of inspection CA: Compliance with Concern R: Returned to Co	mpliance X or V: Non-Compliance

Y: Yes N: No UN: Unknown NA: Inspected, Not Applicable NE: Evaluation Determination will be Made at a Later Date NI: Not Inspected *: Dept. approved alternate may apply No 'box' is an open ended question ND: Inspected, Not Determined



Section 1: General Standards for All Closed-Vent Systems and Control Devices

 K. If the closed-vent system is designed to operate with no detectable emissions (instrument reading < 500 ppmv), proper operation is ensured by ALL of the following: 1. Conduct initial leak detection monitoring on or before the date the system was subject to subch. AA or BB to demonstrate the unit operates with no detectable emissions. 2. At least annually, visually inspect closed-vent system joints, seams or other connections that are permanently or semi-permanently sealed for defects that could result in air pollutant emissions. 3. Monitor components or connections after repair or replacement to demonstrate they are operating without detectable emissions. 4. Monitor other components or connections annually, unless they are designated as unsafe to monitor. 	664.1033(12)(a)
L. If components are designated as unsafe to monitor, the exposure to an immediate danger has been documented and a written plan for monitoring during safe-to-monitor times is followed.	664.1033(12)(a)
M. A closed-vent system designed to operate at negative pressure was visually inspected for defects that could result in air pollutant emissions by the date the system was subject to subch. AA or BB and annually thereafter	664.1033(12)(b)
N. If a defect is detected, the first attempt at repair is made within 5 calendar days and repair is corrected as soon as possible, but no later than 15 calendar days after the emissions are detected, unless repair is delayed.	664.1033(12)(c)
O. Repair is delayed to the end of the next process unit shutdown because repair is technically infeasible without a process unit shutdown and emissions from immediate repair would be greater than those resulting from delay of repair.	664.1033(12)(c)
P. If an alternate operational or process parameter is monitored, the facility demonstrated that the alternate parameter will ensure the control device is operating in conformance with these standards and the control device design specifications.	664.1033(9)
Section 2: Vapor Incinerators	

A. The control device is a vapor incinerator If NO, go to Section 3.	NA1
B. The vapor incinerator is designed and operated to result in ANY of the following:	664.1033(3)
 Reduce the organic emissions by 95 weight percent or greater. Achieve a total organic compound concentration of 20 ppmv, expressed as the sum of 	
actual compounds on a dry basis corrected to 3% oxygen.	
3. Provide a minimum residence time of 0.50 seconds at a minimum temperature of 760?C.	
C. If performance tests were conducted on a vapor incinerator designed and operated to	664.1034(3)
achieve the standards in Question 2.B., the total organic compound concentrations and mass	
flow rates entering and exiting the control device were determined according to ALL of the	
following: 1. Velocity and volumetric flow rate are determined by Method 2 in appendix A of 40 CFR part	
60.	
2. Organic content is determined by Method 18 in appendix A of 40 CFR part 60.	
3. Each performance test consists of 3 separate runs at least one hour each, under the	
highest load or capacity expected.	
4. Total organic mass flow rate and annual total organic emission rate are correctly calculated.	
5. Total organic emissions from all affected units are calculated by adding the hourly total	
organic mass emission rates and by adding the annual total organic mass emission rates.	

Key: C or EV: Evaluated - no noncompliance detected at the time of inspection CA: Compliance with Concern R: Returned to Compliance Y: Yes N: No UN: Unknown NA: Inspected, Not Applicable NE: Evaluation Determination will be Made at a Later Date NI: Not Inspected *: Dept. approved alternate may apply No 'box' is an open ended question ND: Inspected, Not Determined



Section 2: Vapor Incinerators

D. The facility maintains information indicating the following performance testing facilities were made available.	664.1034(3)
 Sampling ports adequate for the required test methods. A safe sampling platform. Safe access to the sampling platform. Utilities for sampling and testing equipment. 	
E. All process information, including representative conditions, used during the performance test are recorded.	664.1034(3)
F. The time-weighted average of the results from 3 runs is used to determine compliance.	664.1034(3)
G. A temperature monitoring device with a continuous recorder is maintained and operated to continuously monitor the operation of the thermal or catalytic vapor incinerator.	664.1033(6)(b)
 H. If engineering calculations are used for a thermal vapor incinerator, the design analysis addresses BOTH of the following: 1. Considers the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, and flow rate. 2. Establishes the design minimum, the average temperature in the combustion zone, and the combustion zone residence time. 	664.1035(2)(d)3.a
 For a thermal vapor incinerator, the operating record includes the date, time and duration of each period when ANY of the following occur: The combustion temperature is below 760?C when the incinerator is designed to operate with a minimum residence time of 0.50 seconds and minimum temperature of 760?C. The combustion zone temperature is more than 28? C below the design average temperature when the incinerator is designed to operate with an organic emission reduction efficiency of at least 95 weight percent. 	664.1035(3)(d)
 J. If engineering calculations are used for a catalytic vapor incinerator, the design analysis addresses BOTH of the following: 1. Considers the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, and flow rate. 2. Establishes the design minimum and average temperatures across the catalyst bed inlet and outlet. 	664.1035(2)(d)3.b
 K. For a catalytic vapor incinerator, the operating record includes the date, time and duration of each period when ANY of the following occur: 1. The temperature of the vent stream at the catalyst bed inlet is more than 28? C below the average temperature of the inlet vent stream. 2. The temperature difference across the catalyst bed is less than 80% of the design average temperature difference. 	664.1035(3)(d)3

Section 3: Condensers

A. The control device is a condenser. If NO, go to Section 4.	NA1
 B. The condenser is designed and operated to recover the organic vapors according to EITHER of the following: 1. The efficiency of recovery is >= 95 weight percent. 	664.1033(2)
2. If the efficiency is <95 weight percent, total organic emissions of 3 lb/hr and 3.1 tons/yr are attained.	



Section 3: Condensers

C. If engineering calculations are used for a condenser, the design analysis addresses BOTH of the following:	664.1035(2)(d)3.e
1. Considers the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, flow rate, relative	
humidity and temperature.	
2. Establishes the design outlet organic compound concentration level, design average	
temperature of the condenser exhaust vent stream, and design average temperatures of the	
coolant fluid at the condenser inlet and outlet.	
D. One of the following devices is maintained and operated to continuously monitor the	664.1033(6)(b)6
operation of the condenser:	
1. A monitoring device with a continuous recorder to measure the organic compound	
concentration level in the exhaust vent stream from the condenser.	
A temperature monitoring device with a continuous recorder.	
E. For a condenser with a concentration monitoring device, the operating record includes the	664.1035(3)(d)6
date, time and duration of each period when the organic compound concentration level or	
readings of organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream from the condenser are more than	
20% greater than the design outlet organic compound concentration level.	
F. For a condenser with a temperature monitoring device, the operating record includes the	664.1035(3)(d)7
date, time and duration of each period when ANY of the following occurs:	
1. Temperature of the exhaust vent stream from the condenser is more than 6? C above the	
design average exhaust vent stream temperature.	
2. Temperature of the coolant fluid exiting the condenser is more than 6 ?C above the design	
average coolant fluid temperature at the condenser outlet.	
Section 4: Boiler or Process Heaters	

A. The control device is a boiler or process heater. If NO, go to Section 5.	NA1
B. The boiler or process heater is designed and operated to result in ANY of the following:	664.1033(3)
1. Reduce the organic emissions by 95 weight percent or greater.	
2. Achieve a total organic compound concentration of 20 ppmv, expressed as the sum of	
actual compounds on a dry basis corrected to 3% oxygen.	
3. Provide a minimum residence time of 0.50 seconds at a minimum temperature of 760?C.	
C. If performance tests were conducted on a boiler or process heater designed and operated	664.1033(3)
to achieve the standards in Question 4.B., the total organic compound concentrations and	
mass flow rates entering and exiting the control device were determined according to ALL of	
the following:	
1. Velocity and volumetric flow rate were determined using Method 2 in appendix A of 40 CFR	
part 60.	
2. Organic content was determined using Method 18 in appendix A of 40 CFR part 60.	
3. Each performance test consists of 3 separate runs at least one hour each, under the	
highest load or capacity expected.	
4. Total organic mass flow rate and annual total organic emission rate are calculated correctly.	
5. The total organic emissions from all affected units are calculated by adding the hourly total	
organic mass emission rates and by adding the annual total organic mass emission rates.	
D. The facility maintains information indicating the following performance testing facilities were	664.1034(3)
made available.	
1. Sampling ports adequate for the required test methods.	
2. A safe sampling platform.	
3. Safe access to the sampling platform.	
Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.	

 Key :
 C or EV: Evaluated - no noncompliance detected at the time of inspection
 CA: Compliance with Concern
 R: Returned to Compliance
 X or V: Non-O

 Y: Yes
 N: No
 UN: Unknown
 NA: Inspected, Not Applicable NE: Evaluation Determination will be Made at a Later Date
 NI: Not Inspected

 *: Dept. approved alternate may apply
 No 'box' is an open ended question
 ND: Inspected, Not Determined
 d_report_i



Section 4: Boiler or Process Heaters

E. All process information, including representative conditions, used during the performance est are recorded.	664.1034(3)
The time-weighted average of the results from 3 runs is used to determine compliance.	664.1034(3)
G. If a boiler or process heater has a design heat input capacity <44 megawatts, it is continuously monitored with a temperature monitoring device and continuous recorder.	664.1033(6)(b)4
I. If the boiler or process heater has a design heat input capacity of >= 44 megawatts, it is equipped with a continuous monitoring device and continuous recorder that measures a parameter indicating good combustion operating practices.	664.1033(6)(b)5
 If engineering calculations are used for a boiler or process heater, the design analysis addresses the following: Considers the vent stream composition, constituent concentrations, and flow rate. Establishes the design minimum and average flame zone temperatures; and, the combustion zone residence time. Describes the method and location where the vent or equipment stream is introduced into he combustion zone. 	664.1035(2)(d)3.0
 I. The operating record includes the date, time and duration of each period when ANY of the ollowing occur: I. Flame zone temperature is more than 28? C below the design average flame zone emperature. 2. Position where the vent stream is introduced to the combustion zone changes from the established location. 	664.1035(3)(d)4

A. The control device is a flare. If NO, go to Section 6.	NA1
B. The flare is designed and operated with no visible emissions except for periods not to exceed a total of 5 minutes during any 2 consecutive hours.	664.1033(4)
C. The flame is present at all times.	664.1033(4)
D. The flare is steam-assisted, air-assisted or non-assisted.	664.1033(4)
E. If the flare is steam or air-assisted, the net heating value of the gas being combusted is >= 300 Btu/scf.	664.1033(4)
F. If the flare is non-assisted, the net heating value of the gas being combusted is >= 200 Btu/scf.	664.1033(4)
 G. The exit velocity for a steam-assisted or non-assisted flare is ANY of the following: 1. Less than 60 ft/sec. 2. Between 60 ft/sec and 400 ft/sec if the net heating value of the gas is >1,000 Btu/scf. 3. Less than the maximum velocity, Vmax and less than 400 ft/sec. 	664.1033(4)

Key: C or EV: Evaluated - no noncompliance detected at the time of inspection CA: Compliance with Concern R: Returned to Compliance X or V: Non-Compliance Y: Yes N: No UN: Unknown NA: Inspected, Not Applicable NE: Evaluation Determination will be Made at a Later Date NI: Not Inspected *: Dept. approved alternate may apply ND: Inspected, Not Determined No 'box' is an open ended question



WASTE & MATERIALS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

TREATMENT & STORAGE FACILITY INSPECTION - SUBCH. AA & BB STANDARDS FOR CLOSED VENT SYSTEMS & CONTROL DEVICES (Process Vents & Equip. Leaks)

Section 5: Flares

I. The exit velocity for an air-assisted flare is less than Vmax.	664.1033(4)
Compliance with the visible emissions requirement has been determined using Method 22 in appendix A of 40 CFR part 60.	664.1033(5)
. The net heating value of the gas being combusted, the actual exit velocity, and the naximum allowed velocity or Vmax have been calculated correctly.	664.1033(5)
X. A heat sensing monitoring device and continuous recorder indicate the continuous ignition f the pilot flame.	664.1033(6)(b)3
The heat sensing monitoring device is maintained and operated to continuously monitor the operation of the flare.	664.1033(6)(b)3
<i>I</i> . If engineering calculations are used for a flare, the design analysis considers the vent tream composition, constituent concentrations, flow rate, and design and operation standards no visible emissions).	664.1035(2)(d)3.
I. The operating record includes the date, time and duration of each period when the pilot	664.1035(3)(d)5

A. The control device is a carbon adsorption unit. If NO, go to Section 7.	NA1
B. The carbon adsorption unit is designed and operated to recover the organic vapors	664.1033(2)
according to EITHER of the following: 1. The efficiency of recovery is 95 weight percent or greater.	
2. If the efficiency is less than 95 weight percent, total organic emissions of 3 lb/hr and 3.1 tons/yr are attained.	
C. If the facility uses a fixed-bed carbon adsorption system that regenerates the carbon bed in the control device, the carbon is replaced with fresh carbon at regular, pre-determined time intervals that are shorter than the carbon service life.	664.1033(7)
D. If the carbon bed is not regenerated in the control device, the existing carbon is replaced	664.1033(8)
 with fresh carbon on a regular basis using EITHER of the following procedures: 1. The concentration level of organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream is monitored and the existing carbon is immediately replaced when carbon breakthrough is indicated. 2. The existing carbon is replaced at a regular predetermined time interval that is less than the design carbon replacement interval. 	
E. If the concentration level of organic compounds in the exhaust vent stream is monitored, the	664.1033(8)
monitoring frequency is either daily or at an interval no more than 20% of the time required to consume the total carbon working capacity, whichever is longer.	
F. The facility documents that carbon removed from the carbon adsorption system is managed	664.1033(14)
as a hazardous waste by ANY of the following methods: 1. Regenerated in a thermal treatment unit licensed or permitted as a miscellaneous unit; or,	
in a unit in compliance with NR 665 subch. AA, BB, CC or Clean Air Act requirements.	
 Incinerated in a licensed or permitted hazardous waste incinerator. 	
3. Burned in a licensed or permitted boiler or industrial furnace.	

 Key:
 C or EV: Evaluated - no noncompliance detected at the time of inspection
 CA: Compliance with Concern
 R: Returned to Compliance
 X or V:

 Y: Yes
 N: No
 UN: Unknown
 NA: Inspected, Not Applicable NE: Evaluation Determination will be Made at a Later Date
 NI: Not Inspected
 X or V:

 *: Dept. approved alternate may apply
 No 'box' is an open ended question
 ND: Inspected, Not Determined
 d__rc



Section 6: Carbon Adsorption Units

G. EITHER of the following devices is maintained and operated to continuously monitor the	664.1033(6)(b)7
operation of a carbon adsorption system that regenerates the carbon bed in the control device:	
1. A monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to measure the organic compound	
concentration level in the exhaust vent stream from the carbon bed.	
2. A monitoring device equipped with a continuous recorder to measure a parameter indicating	
the carbon bed is regenerating on a regular predetermined time cycle.	
H. If engineering calculations are used for a carbon adsorption system that regenerates the	664.1035(2)(d)3.f
carbon bed in the control device, the design analysis considers the vent stream composition,	
constituent concentrations, flow rate, relative humidity and temperature.	
I. If engineering calculations are used for a carbon adsorption system that regenerates the	664.1035(2)(d)3.f
carbon bed in the control device, the design analysis establishes:	
1. The design exhaust vent stream organic compound concentration level.	
2. Number and capacity of carbon beds.	
3. Type and working capacity of activated carbon used for carbon beds.	
4. Design total steam flow over the period of each complete carbon bed regeneration cycle.	
Duration of the carbon bed steaming and cooling or drying cycles.	
Design carbon bed temperature after regeneration.	
7. Design carbon bed regeneration time.	
8. Design service life of carbon.	
J. If engineering calculations are used for a carbon adsorption system that does not regenerate	664.1035(2)(d)3.g
the carbon bed in the control device, the design analysis considers the vent stream	
composition, constituent concentrations, flow rate, relative humidity, and temperature.	
K. If engineering calculations are used for a carbon adsorption system that does not	664.1035(2)(d)3.g
regenerate the carbon bed in the control device, the design analysis establishes ALL of the	
following:	
1. The design outlet organic concentration level.	
2. Capacity of carbon bed	
3. Type and working capacity of activated carbon used for carbon bed and design carbon	
replacement interval, based on the total carbon working capacity of the control device and	
source operating schedule.	
L. For a carbon adsorption system that regenerates the carbon bed in the control device, the	664.1035(3)(d)
operating record includes the date, time and duration of each period when the following occur:	
1. For units measuring organic compound concentrations, the period when the organic	
compound concentration level in the exhaust vent stream from the carbon bed is more than	
20% greater than the design exhaust vent stream organic compound concentration level.	
2. For units measuring regeneration of the carbon bed, the period when the vent stream	
continues to flow through the control device beyond the predetermined carbon bed	
regeneration time.	
Section 7: General Recordkeeping Requirements	

Section 7: General Recordkeeping Requirements

A. If more than one unit is subject to subch. AA or BB, the facility keeps one recordkeeping system that identifies each record by each hazardous waste management unit.	664.1035(1)(b)
 B. Records include up-to-date information and data identifying ALL of the following: 1. All process vents or equipment subject to subch. AA or BB requirements. 2. Annual throughput and operating hours of each affected unit. 3. Estimated emission rates for each affected unit and for the overall facility. 4. Facility map showing the approximate location of each affected unit. 5. Determinations of vent emissions and emission reductions achieved by add-on control 	664.1035(2)(b)1

devices based on engineering calculations or source tests.

Key: C or EV: Evaluated - no noncompliance detected at the time of inspection CA: Compliance with Concern X or V: Non-Compliance R: Returned to Compliance Y: Yes N: No UN: Unknown NA: Inspected, Not Applicable NE: Evaluation Determination will be Made at a Later Date NI: Not Inspected *: Dept. approved alternate may apply ND: Inspected, Not Determined No 'box' is an open ended question



Section 7: General Recordkeeping Requirements

C. Determinations of vent emissions and emission reductions are made using operating	664.1035(2)(b)1
parameter values that represent the conditions when maximum organic emissions occur.	
D. If test data is used to determine the organic removal efficiency or total organic compound	664.1035(2)(c)
concentration achieved by the control device, the facility has a performance test plan that	
includes ALL of the following:	l l
1. A description of how it is determined that the planned test is conducted when the hazardous	
waste management unit is operating at the highest load or capacity level reasonably expected	
to occur.	
2. Estimated or design flow rate and organic content of each vent or equipment stream.	
3. Definition of the acceptable operating ranges of key processes and control device	
parameters.	
4. Detailed engineering description of the closed-vent system and control device, including the	
manufacture's name and model number of the control device; type, dimensions and equipment	
capacity; and, construction materials.	
5. Detailed description of sampling and monitoring procedures, including the equipment used;	
sampling and monitoring locations in the system; frequency of sampling and monitoring; and,	
planned analytical procedures.	
E. If a design analysis is used, records include ALL of the following design documentation for	664.1035(2)(d)
the closed-vent and control devices:	
1. List of all information references and sources used in preparing the documentation.	l l
2. Records, including the date, for each compliance test showing that the closed vent system	
operates with no detectable emissions.	
3. Statement signed and dated by the owner or operator certifying that the operating	
parameters used in the design analysis represent the conditions that exist when the unit is	
operating at the highest load reasonably expected to occur.	
4. Statement certifying that the control device is designed to operate at >= 95% efficiency; or,	
the total organic emissions are reduced to < 3 lb/hr and 3.1 tons/yr if the efficiency is < 95% .	
5. The certification of efficiency is signed and dated by the owner or operator; or, the	
manufacturer or vendor certified that the control equipment meets design specifications.	
If performance tests are used to demonstrate compliance, all of the test results.	
7. Design analysis, specifications, drawings, schematics, piping and instrument diagrams	
prepared by the owner or operator or provided by the manufacturer or vendor that describes	
the control device design information.	
F. The operating record includes ALL of the following for each closed-vent system and control	664.1035(3)
device:	
1. Description and date of each modification made to the unit design.	l l
2. Identification of operating parameters, description of monitoring devices and diagram of	
monitoring sensor locations.	
3. Monitoring, operating and inspection information.	
4. Explanation of the cause for the control device operating parameters to exceed the design	
value and the measures implemented to correct the control device operation for each	
exceedance period.	
5. For all carbon adsorption systems, the date when existing carbon is replaced with fresh	
carbon.	
6. For a carbon adsorption system where the carbon bed is not regenerated on-site, the date	
and time when the control device is monitored for carbon breakthrough and the monitoring	
device reading.	
Date of each control device startup and shutdown.	
8. Identification of each of the closed-vent system components that are designated as unsafe	
to monitor, why the unit is unsafe to monitor, and the plan for monitoring each component.	



Section 7: General Recordkeeping Requirements

G. ALL of the following information is recorded in the operating record when a leak is detected:		664.1035(3)
1. The instrument ID number; the closed-vent system component ID number; and, the		
operator name, initials or ID number.		
The date the leak was detected and the date of the first attempt to repair.		
The date the leak was successfully repaired.		
The maximum instrument reading after the leak is successfully repaired or determined to be		
nonrepairable.		
5. A notation of "repair delayed" and the reason for delay if the leak is not repaired within 15		
days.		
H. Records of monitoring, operating and inspection information for the closed vent system and		664.1035(4)
control device are kept for 3 years from the date of each occurrence.		
I. If an alternative control device is used, there is sufficient information to describe its operation		664.1033(10)
and identify the process parameters that indicate proper operation and maintenance.		
If the control device operated outside of the design specifications for more than 24 hours or		664.1036
the flare operated with visible emissions for more than 5 minutes during any 2 consecutive		
hours, the facility submitted a semi-annual report containing ALL of the following to the		
department by the specified date:		
1. The EPA ID number, name and address of the facility.		
2. For each month, the dates the control device exceeded or operated outside of the design		
specifications.		
3. The duration and cause of each exceedance or visible emission.		
4. Corrective measures that were taken.		