
ATFS® Annual Surveillance Audit Report Wisconsin Managed Forest Law (MFL) Certified Group Certificate #SCS-ATFS-004622, 2024



SCS Global Services Report

SCS Contact:
Maggie Schwartz
Managing Director, Forestry
Natural Resources Division
mschwartz@scsglobalservices.com

2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 USA +1.510.452.8000 main | +1.510.452.8001 fax www.SCSglobalServices.com

Table of Contents

ATFS FOREST MANAGEMENT STANDARD	3
SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY	3
Foreword	3
GENERAL INFORMATION	3
AUDIT PROCESS	4
AUDIT PROCESS	7
Certification Decision	8
SECTION B – CONFIDENTIAL REPORT	9
Appendix 1 –Audit Findings	9
APPENDIX 2 –SITE NOTES, AND INTERVIEWEES	11
APPENDIX 3 – MEETING ATTENDANCE AND STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATIONS	14
Appendix 4 – Required Tracking	15
APPENDIX 5 – FOREST MANAGEMENT STANDARD CONFORMANCE TABLE	15
APPENDIX 6 – GROUP STANDARD CONFORMITY TABLE	20
APPENDIX 7 - SCHEDULE AND FOCUS AREAS FOR NEXT AUDIT	
Appendix 8 – Copy of Audit Plan	

Public Summary Report ATFS Forest Management Standard

SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY

Foreword

Organization of the Audit Report

This report of the results of our audit assessment is divided into two sections. Section A provides the public summary and background information that is required by the Sustainable Forestry Initiative. This section is made available to the public and is intended to provide an overview of the audit process, the management programs and policies applied to the forest, and the results of the evaluation. Section A will be posted on the ATFS website and Section B contains more detailed results and information for required ATFS record-keeping or the use by the Certified Organization.

General Information

Name, Contact, and Certificate Information

Organization Name	State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, MFL Wisconsin DNR Managed Forest Law Tree Farm Group			
Organization Name				
Certification	Jake Walcisak, Forest Certification Coordinator, Division of Forestry, Wisconsin Department			
Representative	of Natural Resources			
Phone Number	Cell Phone: (715) 657-5812; jacob.walcisak@wisconsin.gov			
Address	101 S. Webster St., 4th floor, PO Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921, United States			
Audit Dates	12-16 August 2024			
	□ Single			
SFI/ATFS Certificate Type	☑ Multi-site. This Audit covered the requirements of the central organization and a subset			
	of the sites selected. See Sampling Approach below for detail.			

Summary Description of the Management Unit(s)

	Wisconsin's Managed Forest Law (MFL) program, administered through the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WIDNR), is an incentive program that encourages science-based forest management. In exchange for following an approved forest management plan landowners pay forest tax law program rates instead of regular property taxes.	
Description of Ownership	Ownerships in this program may opt into the American Tree Farm System (Tree Farm) certification group which is administered by the WIDNR. This is a mega group of small, private lands and Tree Farms enrolling a minimum of 20 contiguous acres, 80 percent of	
	which must be capable of producing merchantable timber. These Tree Farms are located throughout the state of Wisconsin – see enrollment information here, Managed Forest Law Wisconsin DNR. See also Wisconsin's Managed Forest Law - A Program Summary There	

	are approximately 35,000 group members and approximately 2,471,517 acres and member			
	lands represent all known forest cover types found within the state of Wisconsin.			
Total Forest Area (Acres)	2,471,517 acres			
Management Unit Maps	The <u>WI MFL program</u> encompasses rules for eligibility and requirements for membership in the program with varying levels of tax incentives provided depending on whether Tree Farms are "Open" or "Closed" to public hunting. Those lands publicly available are updated on a continuous basis and may be viewed online here in the Wisconsin Public Access Lands			
Forest Management Planning	(PAL) application: https://dnrmaps.wi.gov/H5/?viewer=Public_Access_Lands , Landowners who enter the program must complete a forest management plan as outlined by the WI DNR. These plans specify practices for wildlife management, water quality protection, and other objectives on the landowner's property. The suite of practices in the plan must include the harvesting of timber in accordance with sustainable forestry standards set forth by WDNR; for example, cutting trees on a rotational basis and planting trees after a harvest. The plan also must be written by a Certified Plan Writer (CPW): a private consulting forester certified by the DNR to write management plans for the MFL program. CPWs receive specialized training in the preparation of Managed Forest Law plans. They attend annual training sessions, maintain a Cooperating Forester status with the DNR and agree to comply with the guidelines and directives of the department.			
Sustainable Harvest Level Assessments	Public Summary of how long-term harvest levels are determined, maintained, and monitored. <i>Note: Details supporting this description are provided with evidence under Performance Measure 1.1, when evaluated.</i> Sustainable harvest levels are determined for each forest management plan (FMP) as determined by a Certified Plan Writer (CPW). CPWs must attain plan writing certification and complete continuous training to maintain certification through the WI DNR. The WI DNR tracks when harvests become due and notify landowners of needed management activity. When such activity occurs, it is to be consistent with the written FMP. When harvesting occurs the landowner is required through the tax program to file a MFL Cutting Notice 30 days prior to the start of harvesting. A MFL Cutting Report (Form 2450-032) must be submitted to the Tax Law Forestry Specialist within 30 days after cutting is completed.			

Audit Process

Applicable Standards and Scope

Certificate Code	SCS-ATFS-004622				
	☐ Stage 1, Preliminary Review Audit	☐ Stage 2, Certification Audit			
	☐ Re-Certification	☐ COVID-19 Additional Surveillance			
Audit Type	⊠ 1 st , □ 2 nd , □ 3 rd or □ 4 th Surveillance				
	☐ Transfer	☐ Expansion of Scope			
	☐ Other (describe):				
Applicable Standards	☐ AFF 2021 Standards for Independently Managed Groups. Sections 1-4				
	☐ ATFS: ATFS 2021 Logo Use Guidelines				
Substitute or Modified	⋈ None, ☐ Yes, Substitute or Modifi	ed Indicators used and justification:			
Indicators					
Certificate Scope/	The scope of the certificate includes all forest management activities associated with the				
Statement	Organization's sustainable forest management system with defined forest lands located in				
Statement	Wisconsin and includes the harvest, transport, and sale or trade of forest products, and				

	other forest management system activities for the Audit Objectives. The Organization has sufficient control over any contracting or outsourcing of forest management planning and
	activities to ensure conformance to applicable certification requirements.
	This certificate will ensure that forest management is consistent with the 2021 ATFS Standards to promote the health and sustainability of America family forests. The ATFS Standards are designed as a tool to help woodland owners be effective stewards of the land as they adaptively manage renewable resources; promote environmental, economic, and
	social benefits; and work to increase public understanding of sustainable forestry. Single site description
	☐ ATFS: Summary of Sites/FMUs under scope of certificate, including acres.
	☑ IMG Group: Description of group structure. The group certification for ATFS is under the management of DNR Managed Forest Law program. The certification program is
	administered using four administrative units, or Teams, with Wisconsin Counties allocated within the teams. 2024 sampled within the South Forestry Tax Law Team counties. Forest
	practices for the last four years were pulled from this region with Order Number
	(FMU/ownership by group members) by Counties. Lead auditor then further stratified
	harvests to which random selections were then applied. Random selections of harvested
Sampling Approach	stands were then mapped by the DNR for auditor to examine geographic distribution of
	harvest areas. Lead auditor selected areas for potential routes and assigned auditors along routes, established in collaboration with DNR staff. Then DNR staff coordinated to select
	clusters of Order Numbers around the random selected harvest sites. Within the additional
	sites clustered around harvest sites, criteria were used to prioritize risk areas (such as water
	features or other protected features, herbicide spray sites, and so on) for final site
	selections.
	☐ Yes, ⊠ No.
Deviations from the Audit	Were there any significant deviations from the Audit Plan approved prior to the audit. If
Plan	yes, provide a description and explanation.
	No deviations were necessary.

Audit Team

Auditor name:	Kyle Meister	Auditor role:	Lead Auditor		
Qualifications:	Kyle Meister is an FSC Forest Management (F	M) and Chain of	Custody (COC),		
	Sustainable Biomass Partnership, and Roundt	table on Sustaina	able Palm Oil Supply		
	Chain Certification Lead Auditor with SCS Glo	bal Services. He	has conducted FSC		
	FM pre-assessments, evaluations or surveilla	nce audits in Bol	ivia, Brazil, Canada,		
	Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Indonesia, In	idia, Japan, Mexi	ico, New Zealand,		
	Spain, and all major forest producing regions of the United States. He has				
	conducted COC assessments in Bolivia, Canada, Panama, and the United States				
	(California, Georgia, Kentucky, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South				
	Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia). Mr. Meister has successfully				
	completed CAR Lead Verifier, ISO 9001:2008 Lead Auditor, SA8000 Social Systems				
	Introduction and Basic Auditor, RSPO Supply Chain Lead Auditor, SBP Lead				
	Auditor, and FSC Lead Auditor and Trainer Training Courses. He holds a B.S. in				
	Natural Resource Ecology and Management a	and a B.A. in Spa	nish from the		

	University of Michigan; and a Master of Forestry from the Yale School of Forestry and Environmental Studies.							
Auditor name:	Sterling Griffin Auditor role: Team Auditor							
Qualifications:	Sterling Griffin, Technical Specialist, Forest Certification – Sterling is a California Registered Professional Forester living in southern Oregon. He is a graduate of Purdue University with a degree in Forest Management. His career began with the U.S. Forest Service where he participated in long-term ecosystem productivity research in the Pacific Northwest. He later founded a consulting firm serving private landowners managing timber, water, recreation, fuels, and biodiversity. In 2007, he helped SCS establish its GHG verification program and later founded one of the largest U.S. developers of forest carbon credits. He is a FSC FM Lead Auditor, SFI FM auditor, and has evaluated over 20 million acres of forestland around the world.							
Auditor name:	Eric Carlson Auditor role: Team Auditor/Trainee							
Qualifications:	Helped initiate the FSC Standards in the US in the 1990s and has managed two SFI State							
	Implementation Committees. Past experience includes Team Leader on FSC FM and COC audits.							

Total Time Spent on Audit

A. Nun	nber of days spent on-site for the Audit	5
B. Nun	nber of auditors participating in on-site audit	3
C. Nun	nber of days spent by any technical experts (in addition to amount in line A)	0
D. Add	itional days spent on preparation, stakeholder consultation, and follow-up	3
E. Tota	al number of person days used in audit	18

Summary of Audit Itinerary and Site Visits

Location(s) sampled	The WI DNR program is administered through Service Areas. There are 4 administrative areas to which Tax Law Forestry Specialists are assigned. The 2024 audit focused on the South Area. Detailed listing of counties within each service area and counties sampled within the service area are maintained in SCS files, "Audit Matrix". All counties in the South Area with management activities were considered for sampling. Of these, the counties visited included: Columbia, Crawford, Dane, Grant, Green, Iowa, Juneau, Monroe, Richland, Sauk, Vernon and Waushara			
	Service Area	Sampled 2023	Sampled 2024	
	North Central			
	Northeast	X		
	Northwest			
	South		X	
Number of field sites	61 total field sites were visited during this audit and included requisite size category representation. A list of forest management activities for each county within the South region was provided for the lead auditor selection process. At least 25% of sites were selected randomly by the lead auditor. Additional risk areas and criteria used for selections as outlined in the Audit Plan (Appendix 7), and above.			
Summary of Cover Types	Northern hardwoods, red and white pine, aspen, northern red oak, balsam fir, tamarack, sugar			
visited	and red maple, other common forest cover types were sampled.			
Description/Number of	Activities inspected included timber harvests include a range of silviculture systems and			

Silviculture Activities inspected	harvest methods such as overstory removals, clearcuts, shelterwoods, and thinnings. Salvage operations, trail clearing, habitat projects, site preparation and tree seedling planting and other standard forestry practices were inspected for 61 Order numbers and stops.				
	Management activity	# sites visited			
	Salvage	23			
	Final harvest	23			
Description/Number of Harvest Areas inspected	Future harvest	2			
	Invasive species control	12			
	Recreation	12			
	Prescribed burn	0			
	Wildfire	0			
	Special management (e.g., tree improvement, field trial)	31			
	Roads/infrastructure	17			

Evaluation of Group Management Systems

SCS deploys teams with expertise in forestry and other relevant fields to assess the certified organization's conformance to ATFS standards and policies. Audit methods include reviewing documents and records, interviewing personnel and contractors, implementing sampling strategies to visit a broad number of forest cover and harvest prescription types, observing implementation of management plans and policies in the field, and collecting and analyzing relevant stakeholder input. When there is more than one team member, each member may review parts of the standards based on their background and expertise. Applicable aspects of the Management System under evaluation, such as Management System Review and other relevant Objectives, Performance Measures, and Indicators will also inform the audit team. On the final day of an evaluation, team members convene to deliberate the findings of the audit jointly. This involves an analysis of all relevant field observations, interviews, reviewed documents and records, and relevant stakeholder input. Where consensus among team members cannot be achieved due to lack of evidence, conflicting evidence or differences of interpretation of the standards, the team is instructed to report these in the certification decision section and/or in observations.

Results of Evaluation

Definitions of Types of Findings

- Major CARs: Major Corrective Action Requests (CARs) occur when one or more of the ATFS Standard(s) performance measures or indicators has not been addressed or has not been implemented to the extent that a systematic failure of a Certified Organization's system to meet an ATFS objective, performance measure or indicator occurs.
- *Minor CARs:* An isolated lapse in ATFS Standard(s) implementation which does not indicate a systematic failure to consistently meet an ATFS objective, performance measure or indicator.

- Opportunities for Improvement: Opportunities for Improvement (OFIs) are identified by audit team members
 where the client is in conformance, but there is a risk to conformance in the future. Nonconformance with
 the standard requirements cannot be recorded as OFIs.
- Exceeds: Practices that exceed the basic requirements of the ATFS Standards.

Summary Audit Results and History of Findings for Certificate Period

This table lists Findings to the Performance Measure and/or Indicator level for each year of the certificate period and is updated annually.

ATFS Standards	Cert/Re-cert Evaluation 2023	1 st Annual Evaluation 2024	2 nd Annual Evaluation 2025	3 rd Annual Evaluation 2026	4 th Annual Evaluation 2027
No findings					
1					
2					
3	OFI 3.1.1				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
Group		NCR 3.1.b			
Other					

Confirmation of Meeting Audit Objectives

Determination of the conformity of the client's management system, or parts of it, with audit criteria (Standard). Determination of the ability of the management system to ensure the client meets applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements.

Determination of the effectiveness of the management system to ensure the client can reasonably expect to achieve specified objectives.

As applicable, identification of areas for potential improvement of the management system.

Audit Objectives were met Ves No I If no provide an explanation:

The objectives for this audit included:

10	cs Z 110 = 11 110, provide an explanation.	
Certification Decision		
applicable ATFS standards. The S	nstrated continued overall conformance to the SCS annual evaluation team recommends that ject to subsequent annual evaluations and the open CARs.	Yes ⊠ No □
Comments: None		