

Introduction- Meeting Outreach

Invitations and 621 letters were sent out to all affected stakeholders March 14th. A list of the attendees is included below.

The work maps and associated shapefiles were made available to everyone prior to the meeting. The 30-day comment period for the draft maps and data started on the day of the meeting.

Meeting Time and Location

Friday April 14th, 2023, 9:00 am – 10:30 am via Zoom and City Council Chambers.

Agenda

Project Overview
Riverine Flood Risk Study and Mapping
Overview of Non-Regulatory Flood Risk Products and Datasets
Hazard Mitigation
Mapping Schedule
Questions

Attendance

Community	Name	Title	Email Address
FEMA Region V	Munib Ahmad	Engineer	munib.ahmad@fema.dhs.gov
WI-DNR	Chris Olds	State Floodplain Engineer	Christopher.Olds@wisconsin.gov
WI-DNR	Emily Szajna	GIS Specialist /Project lead	Emily.Szajna@wisconsin.gov
WI-DNR	Ben Sanborn	GIS Specialist	ben.sanborn@wisconsin.gov
WI-DNR	Forrest Van Asten	Regional Dam Safety/Floodplain Engineer	forresta.vanasten@wisconsin.gov
WI-DNR	Chad Heimerl	Floodplain Engineer	chad.heimerl@wisconsin.gov
WI-DNR	Brian Cunningham	State NFIP Coordinator	brian.cunningham@wisconsin.gov
Village of White Lake	Carol Blawat	Clerk	clerk@vi.whitelake.wi.gov
Langlade County	Jeff McKinney	Code Administrator	jmckinney@co.langlade.wi.us
NCWRPC	Andy Faust	Senior GIS Analyst	afaust@ncwrpc.org
City of Antigo	Beth McCarthy	Building Inspector/ZA	bmccarthy@antigo-city.org
City of Antigo	Charley Brinkmeier	Project Manager	cbrinkmeier@antigo-city.org

Meeting Information

The attendees were welcomed to the meeting which was a technical and engineering review meeting as well as briefly covering resilience actions that communities can take to safeguard their communities. Introductions of state and federal staff were made, and the meeting materials reviewed. There were representatives from Langlade County, City of Antigo, Village of White Lake, NCWRPC, FEMA, and WDNR present.

Meeting Goals and Overview of Project

Emily Szajna started out with introductions and a summary of the FEMA Flood Risk Review Meeting Goals. Chad Heimerl discussed the engineering behind the proposed floodplains. Emily covered the non-regulatory products that were provided (CSLF, AOMI, and flood risk database). There was a demonstration via ArcPro on viewing CSLF and seeing where floodplains changed, possible applications of these products, and how they aid in mitigation actions that make the community more resilient.

The FEMA FIRM timeline was presented next, and participants were told there would be additional opportunities for the communities to make comments as we move forward in the project.

Attendees were asked again to review and make comments concerning the draft work maps and data before the 30-day comment period ends on May 14. The attendees were thanked for coming and told we are available if additional questions arise.

Comments/Questions

Questions asked:

- what were the Zone A reaches based off of:
 - digitized from old paper maps and used latest LiDAR to remodel the floodplains
- Any new reaches
 - no additional reaches have been added. This was not part of the project scope.
- Where did the culvert data come from
 - DOT plan inventory; doesn't contain all bridges and culverts
 - If no plans, we make assumptions and put in vertical notches and use aerial photo inspection
- DOP (aerial photo) use
 - National map used instead of local DOP on the FIRMs
- Municipal boundary accuracy and where we get the data
 - DNR should go to LTSB for updated municipal boundaries
- We try to make every effort to make sure everyone is involved and informed
- Ormsby Dam -private dam; Forrest provided background and we will adjust the Zone A model at preliminary mapping if the dam was removed. If the dam was not removed however, it should remain in the model as-is in the unfailed state since the dam is still considered part of the landscape and could be rebuilt without a permit.

No comments were received about the draft work maps and data during the meeting.